

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,769	06/27/2003 Raimund Modlhammer		031226-014	5494	
7	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			JACKSON, ANDRE L		
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			A / PP		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/606,76	9	MODLHAMMER,	RAIMUND W			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Andre' L.		3677				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no evo reply within the state tod will apply and wi atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 June 2003.						
, —	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)								
· · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	d/or election r	equirement.					
_	ion Papers		•					
	·	ninor						
•—	The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	•	eian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bu	•		nd.				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	ust of the cent	ned copies not receive	eu.				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		Paper No(s)/Mail D		ΓΟ-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/21/2003</u> .		6)					

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. PCT/DE02/01659, filed on May 8, 2002.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the carrying axis" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3677

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,305,496 to Gagnon et al. Gagnon et al (Figs. 5 and 6) discloses a suspension caster device (60) for caster wheels (62) carried by a wheel mount (72), the suspension device comprising at least one hub body (68) which is arranged in between the wheels and, together with the wheels, the at least one hub body is mounted so as to be pivotable about a supporting axle (64) provided on the wheel mount, wherein the supporting axle is spaced from a carrying axis (65) of the wheels and wherein at least one spring member (70) is provided which co-operates with the at least one hub body so as to cushion the pivoting movement of the hub body, the wheel mount carries at least one abutment member (34) which is spaced from the supporting axle and on which the at least one hub body is supported or is supportable by means of the at least one spring member.

As to claims 2-9, Gagnon et al discloses that the at least one spring member is a pair of elastomeric disks which absorb compressive and axial loads of the caster device. As shown in Fig. 6, the hub body is formed by two connectable plate halves, connectable to one another in a snap-locking manner, where the plate halves include a spacing for receiving the spring members and a curved slot (76) through which the supporting axle is guided there-through. An inner portion of each caster wheel defines a bearing (Fig. 4) that houses a portion of the hub body.

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In particular, Jones et al discloses a suspension caster, which could be applied to meet the limitations of applicant's base claim(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' L. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 605-4276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (10 am - 6 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy J. Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André L. Jackson Patent Examiner AU 3677

ALJ